Nassadiya Suktam Commented by Swami Shuddhabodhananda Saraswati

Third part — Continuation from previous issue

तमः आसीत् तमसा गूळ्हम् अग्रेऽप्रकेतं सलिलं सर्वम् आः इदम् तुच्छ्येन । आभु अपिहितं यत् आसीत् तपसः तत् महिना अजायत एकम् ॥ ३ ॥

अंग्रे – before Creation (in the state of dissolution) (the entire *jagat*); तमसा – by the darkness of self-ignorance (*maya*); गूळहूम् – (was) totally covered; तमः आसीत् – (the manifest *jagat*) was in the form of darkness of self-ignorance; अप्रकेतं – (though the covering principle self-ignorance was distinct from the covered *jagat*, their distinction) was unknown (in that state); **इदम्** – this (perceptible); सर्वम् – entire *jagat*; सलिलं आः (i.e. आसीत्) – was inseparable from its cause (like the *jagat* totally merged in water in the case of intermediary dissolution). Or was not known, like water mixed with milk; **यत्** whatever (*jagat*); आभु (आसमन्तात् भवति इति) – completely; तुच्छ्येन (i.e. तुच्छेन, **य्** is **छांदसः प्रयोगः**) by insignificant (अज्ञान – self-ignorance); अपिहितं आसीत् – was covered; तत् – that (*jagat*); एकम् – (even though) identified with its cause, self-ignorance; तपसः – of *Ishwara's* contemplation on the *jagat* to be created; **महिना** – by the glory; अजायत – was born (3)

3. In the state of dissolution before Creation, the entire *jagat* was completely covered by the darkness of self-ignorance/*maya*. The manifest *jagat* was in the form of the darkness of self-ignorance. Though the covering principle, self-ignorance, was distinct from the covered *jagat*, their distinction was unknown in that state. This entire perceptible *jagat* was inseparable from its cause (like the *jagat* completely merged in water in the case of intermediary dissolution). Or the entire *jagat* was not known, like water mixed with milk. That very *jagat* that was completely covered by insignificant self-ignorance, even though identified with its cause (self-ignorance), was born of the glory of *Ishwara's* contemplation on the *jagat* to be created.

How then is this *jagat* described so far born if it was non-existent prior to its birth? Any Creation is necessarily preceded by its *kaaraka* (producer) and instruments of action. Without that, birth is not possible. This is now answered. *Agre* (before Creation), this *jagat* was *gulham* (totally covered) by *tamas* – the darkness of ignorance. It is just like pitch darkness that covers the world during night-time. *Ajnana* or *avidya*, synonymous to *maya*, is called *tamas* (darkness) here because it covers the true nature of *atma*. So the *jagat* was totally covered by this cause, the veiling power called *tamas*. Manifestation in terms of name and form out of this covering of *tamas* is itself called the birth of *jagat*. This refutes the philosophy of *asatkaryavada* according to which an effect (*karya*) that was totally non-existent in the cause takes birth as an effect.

If the effect *jagat* exists in the cause called *tama*, how was the presence of *raja* (fields of experience) etc. negated earlier? This is addressed by *tamah aasit* (there was the darkness of ignorance earlier). In the state of dissolution, the entire *jagat* remained in the form of its cause, *tamas*, and not as the manifest *raja* etc. with distinct names and forms. That is the reason why the presence of *raja* etc. comprising of the *jagat* was negated earlier.

A doubt may arise at this point. The *tama* which covers is the doer (*karta*) whereas the *jagat* that is covered is its object (*karma*). How can the doer and its object be identical? They should be distinct. True. Yet, unlike in the state of the manifest *jagat*, the distinction between ignorance (the one that covers) and *jagat* (the one that is covered) is not clearly perceived in the state of dissolution. So the answer is given, *apraketam* (not known at all). *Manusmruti* (1-5) also corroborates this.

Why is it not known? *Idam* (this) *sarvam* (entire *jagat*) was (*aah*) water (*salilam*). That means the *jagat* was indistinguishable, like a mass of water in the deluge. This statement applies in the case of intermediary dissolution. Then where is the need to elaborate that the *jagat* was totally indistinguishable in the final state of dissolution. Or the meaning of *salilam* (water) can be taken differently. Just as water mixed with milk is overwhelmed by the milk and not seen separately as water, so too the *jagat* is merged in *tama* and not perceived. Then that *tama* (darkness of ignorance) must be very powerful like milk to prevent the *jagat* is there was completely (*aabhuhu*) covered (*apihitam*) by insignificant (*tuchchyena*) ignorance (*tama*). In this way, the *jagat* was indistinguishably one (*ekam*) with *tama* (ignorance). Self-ignorance (*tama*) is said to be *tuchcham* (insignificant) because it does not exist truly though it appears to. That (*tat*) *jagat* in the form of effect (*karya*) is born (*ajaayat*) by the glory (*mahinaa*) of *tapas* (*Ishwara's* contemplation on the *jagat* to be created).

If *Ishwara's* contemplation is the cause of the rebirth of *jagat*, what is the cause of this contemplation?

कामः तदग्रे समवर्तत अधि मनसो रेतः प्रथमं यदासीत् । सतः बन्धुम् असति निरविन्दन् हृदि प्रतीष्या कवयः मनीषा ॥ ४ ॥

अग्रे – Before Creation; कामः – desire; अधि – with reference to; तत् – that (Creation); समवर्तत – was born (in the mind of *Ishwara*); मनसः – (*vasanas*) related

to the mind (of *jivas* were the cause of *Ishwara's* desire); यत् – *vasanas* (were born from); रेत: – the seed of the future Creation (viz. *karmas*); प्रथमं – (done in) earlier (*kalpas*); आसीत् – was (there); कवयः – the all-knowing sages; हृदि – in their *antahkarana* (mind); सतः – of the *jagat* presently experienced as existent; बन्धुम् – cause (as the *karmas* performed by *jivas* in earlier *kalpas*); प्रतीष्य – having inquired into; मनीषा – by a *buddhi* absorbed in *samadhi*; असति – (as abiding) in *avyakruta* (unmanifest cause) distinct from *sat*; निरविन्दन् –understood thoroughly....(4)

4. Before Creation, the desire to create the *jagat* was born (in the mind of *Ishwara*). *Vasanas* (latent impressions) abiding in the mind of *jivas* were the cause (of *Ishwara's* desire). *Vasanas* were born from the *karmas* performed (*by jivas*) in the past *kalpas* which served as the seed (*retah*) for the future Creation. The all-knowing sages have inquired into the cause of the empirical *jagat* and understood it thoroughly in their *antahkarana* by means of a *buddhi* absorbed in *samadhi* as the *karmas* performed by *jivas* in earlier *kalpas* presently abiding in *avyakruta* (unmanifest cause).

Before (*agre*) this Creation came into existence, a desire (*kaama*) to create the jagat was born in the mind of Parameshwara. What was the cause of that desire to create the *jagat*? It is the *vasanas* (latent impressions) that were inherent in the antahkaranas of all jivas in a merged condition, merged with maya at that time. This shows that the vivid features of *jagat* cannot be directly attributed to atma. But from where did these vasanas originate? The answer lies in the phrase - yat retah prathamam asit - it is from the karma performed by all jivas in the earlier (prathamam) kalpas that were ready and on the verge of manifestation at the time of Creation. These karmas are also described as retah (the seed of the future Creation). This is the reason why a desire to create the jagat was born in the mind of all witnessing Parameshwara who is karmaadhyaksha - the one who presides over the karmas of all beings. The Taittiriya sruti corroborates this: Parameshwara desired. Let me be many; let me be born. HE contemplated upon srushti. Having contemplated, HE created this jagat with all its variegatedness (Tai. Aa. 8-6). The suktam further corroborates this fact by quoting the experience of knowledgeable persons in this field. All-knowing masters (kavayah) ascertained the cause of the present experiential jagat (satah) to be the multitude of binding (bandhum) karmas performed by jivas in the earlier kalpas and now abiding in a dormant condition in the avyakruta (asati), the unmanifest cause. They came to know about this after having deliberated (pratishya) in their antahkarana (hrudi) through manishaa (the buddhi in samadhi).

Avidya (*ajnana* – self-ignorance), *kama* (desire) and *karma* (results of actions) were described thus far as the causes of Creation. Now the rapidity of the Creation without any let up once it starts is being highlighted.