Brahman is the Two-Fold Cause for Creation ¹ Swami Dayananda Saraswati Bhagavadgītā Home Study: Chapter 7, Verses 4-5

LORD Kṛṣṇa REVEALS HIMSELFAS THE TWO-FOLD CAUSE OF CREATION

There are two prakrtis. The word prakrti means that which has the essential capacity to create. Prakrti is also called $k\bar{a}rana$, the cause. Krsna says, 'I have two prakrtis; one is $svar\bar{u}pa-prakrti$, and the other is $svabh\bar{a}va-prakrti$.

Svarūpa-prakṛti

One prakrti is the cause of everything; the truth of everything, without which nothing is possible. This is called $svar\bar{u}pa$ or $par\bar{a}$ prakrti. $Svar\bar{u}pa$, is that which makes something what it is. For example, ice is cold and that coldness is its $svar\bar{u}pa$. You cannot remove it and still have ice. And here similarly, $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ cannot give up its nature, consciousness. Consciousness is the $svar\bar{u}pa$ of $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$; it is not a quality, an attribute of $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. There is no other person there for whom consciousness is an attribute. In fact that 'I' itself is in the form of a conscious being alone. Therefore, consciousness is the $svar\bar{u}pa$ of the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. It is not an attribute of $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$.

And if consciousness is the $svar\bar{u}pa$ of $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, there are a few other facts we recognize about consciousness. It is satya; it is ananta, etc. From the various standpoints of our knowledge about the world, we say this consciousness is satya. That means everything else is not satya; this consciousness alone is satya. It is not that we are refusing to accept another satya. There is only one satya; that is $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. Generally, we think that what exists is satya. Here, we take that existence itself to be consciousness. And because it is satya it is ananta, without limit. Satyam $jm\bar{a}nam$ anantam brahma is $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. This is the prakrti of everything. Here you must understand prakrti as the cause of everything, sarvasya $k\bar{a}ranam$. Therefore, it is called the $svar\bar{u}pa-prakrti$.

Svabhāva-prakṛti

Then there is another prakrti which we call $svabh\bar{a}va$ -prakrti or $apar\bar{a}$ prakrti consisting of the five elements, both subtle and gross. It is divided into cause, $k\bar{a}rana$, and effect, $k\bar{a}rya$. Because the effect, $k\bar{a}rya$ is not separate from the cause, the $k\bar{a}rya$ is also called prakrti. Therefore, we have the expression $k\bar{a}rya$ -prakrti. A physical body consisting of the five elements is also $k\bar{a}rya$ -prakrti as are the sense organs, the mind, and $pr\bar{a}na$ s. In other words, anything created, anything put together is a $k\bar{a}rya$ -prakrti.

Arsha Vidya Newsletter - May 2020

¹ Published in the 14th Anniversary Souvenir of the Arsha Vidya Gurukulam Saylorsburg, 2000.

 $K\bar{a}rya$ here is anything that is produced, anything put together. If we look at this prakrti, this is also called $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, avyakta, or $m\bar{u}la$ -prakrti. This prakrti is the $up\bar{a}dhi$ from which the whole creation has come. And the $up\bar{a}dhi$ is for $param\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. Therefore, sat-cit-anada- $atm\bar{a}$ becomes the real cause, $svar\bar{u}pa$ -prakrti, for this entire world and $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ is the $svabh\bar{a}va$ -prakrti or $k\bar{a}rya$ -prakrti.

Now where does this $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ have its being? Is it in the product or in Brahman? It is in Brahman. It cannot be elsewhere because the product itself is $mithy\bar{a}$. So, this $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ has its being in Brahman — $brahma-\bar{a}\acute{s}ray\bar{a}$ hi $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$. Brahman is satyam $j\bar{n}\bar{a}nam$ anantam which is $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. That Brahman is the $\bar{a}\acute{s}raya$ for $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ and its products.

In this chapter, Lord Krsna first talks about $k\bar{a}rya$ or $apar\bar{a}$ prakrti. Then he says there is another prakrti, $par\bar{a}$ prakrti, that is the real cause, without which there cannot be any creation. The real cause means that which supplies the existence, and without which there is no creation possible. He says, 'The truth of the whole creation, the real cause, is my $svar\bar{u}pa$. And you are that $svar\bar{u}pa$. That is the real prakrti and therefore, what you have to know is that real prakrti which is $\bar{I}svara$ in reality. You have to know these two types of prakrtis, and know that the $svar\bar{u}pa$ or $par\bar{a}$ prakrti without which there is no creation at all, is yourself. I am you. In fact, I am the cause of everything and I am you.' This means you are the cause of everything as satyam $jn\bar{a}nam$ anantam brahma.

Then next question you'll ask, will be, 'How can I be the cause? How can I be $\bar{I}\dot{s}vara$?' If you say you are a $j\bar{i}va$, you'll continue to be a $j\bar{i}va$. You'll never become $\bar{I}\dot{s}vara$. An individual is an individual; he is not going to become $\bar{I}\dot{s}vara$.

And if you say, 'I am $\bar{I} \pm vara$,' then the problem is, where is this 'I' placed? That has to be understood. Therefore, in the verses that follow, $Kr \pm na$ unfolds the two types of prakrti to prove that $\bar{I} \pm vara$ is everything and his $svar\bar{u}pa$ is you.

भूमिरापोऽनलो वायु खं मनो बुद्धिरेव च अहङ्कार इतीयं मे भिन्ना प्रकृतिरष्टधा

bhūmirāpo'nalo vāyuḥ khaṃ mano buddhireva ca ahaṅkāra itīyaṃ me bhinnā prakṛtiraṣṭadhā

Verse 4

भूमिः $bh\bar{u}mih$ — earth; आपः $\bar{a}pah$ — water; अनलः analah — fire; वायुः $v\bar{a}yuh$ — air; खम् kham — space; मनः manah — mind; बुद्धिः buddhih — intellect; अहङ्कारः एव च $ahahk\bar{a}rah$ eva ca — and indeed the doership, the 'I'-sense; इति — thus; इयम् मे प्रकृतिः iyam me prakṛtih — this my prakṛti; अष्टधा $aṣṭadh\bar{a}$ — in an eight-fold way; भिन्ना $bhinn\bar{a}$ — is divided

Earth, water, fire, air, space, mind, intellect and indeed the sense of doership — thus this *prakṛti* of mine is divided in an eight-fold way.

The two-fold prakrti, mentioned in the introduction to this chapter is the cause of this entire world. In this chapter they are called $par\bar{a}$ and $apar\bar{a}$ prakrtis. $Par\bar{a}$

prakrti is the ultimate cause without which there is no effect possible. Then the immediate cause is called $apar\bar{a}$ prakrti, in other words, $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ and all that is immediately born of $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$. Because subtle elements are the causes for the gross elements which come later, they are mentioned first here as $apar\bar{a}$ prakrti.

Iyam, this entire world, is my prakrti, me prakrti, divided in an eight-fold way, $astadh\bar{a}$ $bhinn\bar{a}$. Asta is eight; $astadh\bar{a}$ is eight-fold. Here he tells us what are the eight-fold subtle constituents beginning with the earth, $bh\bar{u}mi$, as a $tanm\bar{u}tra$, a subtle element. $Tanm\bar{u}tra$ means tat $m\bar{u}tra$, that alone is there. In grossification, each element combines with the other four elements. But in the subtle form, such a combination has not taken place; so, they are called $tanm\bar{u}tras$. Each element has its own guna which we experience sensorily. For instance the earth has its own guna, smell, and so, the smell- $tanm\bar{u}tra$ is what is referred to here as $bh\bar{u}mi$. Similarly, the taste, rasa- $tanm\bar{u}tra$ is water, $\bar{u}pah$; form- $tanm\bar{u}tra$ is fire, u

Prakriyā — A TEACHING MODEL

Using a particular model like this to teach the nature of the creation is using a $srsii-prakriy\bar{a}$. A $prakriy\bar{a}$ is a particular discussion which is useful for understanding the vision. The intention of using any $prakriy\bar{a}$ is only to point out that there is nothing other than $param\ brahma$. So, the intention of a $prakriy\bar{a}$ dealing with creation, srsii, is not to reveal the creation but to establish that there is nothing other than Brahman.

Like the $srsti-prakriy\bar{a}$, there are many other $prakriy\bar{a}s$, teaching models, such as $avasth\bar{a}$ -traya-prakriy \bar{a} , an analysis of the three states of experience, $pa\bar{n}ca$ -kośa- $prakriy\bar{a}$, an analysis of the five levels of one's experience of oneself, and drk- $dr\acute{s}ya$ - $prakriy\bar{a}$, subject-object analysis to distinguish $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ from $an\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ and later prove that $an\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ is not separate from $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ because it is $mithy\bar{a}$. The five elemental model of this universe is a part of the creation or cause-effect $prakriy\bar{a}$, $srsti-prakriy\bar{a}$ or $k\bar{a}rana$ - $k\bar{a}rya$ - $prakriy\bar{a}$. We find this srsti- $prakriy\bar{a}$ in many Upanisads.

Sṛṣṭi-prakriy $ar{a}$ — Analysis of Creation in ${\it Ch\bar{a}ndogyopani}$ sad

In the sixth chapter of *Chāndogyopaniṣad*, the sage *Uddālaka* tells his son, Śvetaketu, that before the creation of this world there was only one thing. It was sat, existence, advitīya, non-dual, and there was nothing except that. Advitīya because there was no other sat-vastu like itself nor was there any vastu unlike itself and in itself there were no parts. It was one, non-dual. Since there was no difference within itself nor was there any differentiating factor, it is a part-less whole.

Mentioning this sat-vastu in his opening statement, $Udd\bar{a}laka$ talks about the creation of the elemental world from this sat-vastu. He mentions only three elements, the elements which have form, $m\bar{u}rta$ - $bh\bar{u}tas$ — agni — fire, $\bar{a}pah$ — water,

and $prthiv\bar{i}$ — earth. The two elements without a form, $\bar{a}k\bar{a}sa$ and $v\bar{a}yu$, are not mentioned. The purpose was only to show that having come from sat-vastu, they don't have a being of their own apart from the sat-vastu. In fact, the creation is non-separate from its cause like the pot is non-separate from the clay.

Finally he says, 'O Śvetaketu, that sat-vastu is $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$.' Everything else is created. The body is created; the mind is created; the senses are created. But what is not created is $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. And that is sat-vastu which was existent even before creation. Even now it is sat-vastu, uncreated $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. And therefore, tat tvam asi— 'you are that.' From nine standpoints he points out that the vastu is always the same. Before and after the creation it is the same; that $\bar{a}tma$ -vastu did not undergo any change. Now, even though it is $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ - $k\bar{a}rapa$, material cause, it is $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ - $k\bar{a}rapa$ in terms of $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$. Without undergoing any change itself, the sat-vastu manifests in the form of this world with the sat of $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$. And the creation, being purely $n\bar{a}ma$ - $r\bar{u}pa$, is $mithy\bar{a}$. The truth of the creation, the sat-vastu is you, $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. So, to create this vision that you are the sat-vastu and the world is non-separate from the sat-vastu, we have a srsti-prakriy \bar{a} .

$Avasthar{a}$ -traya-prakriy $ar{a}$ — ANALYSIS OF THE THREE STATES OF EXPERIENCE IN $Mar{a}$ nd $ar{u}$ kyopanişad

Similarly, we find the avasth \bar{a} -traya-prakriy \bar{a} in $M\bar{a}nd\bar{u}ky$ opanisad. The first verse says all that is, all that was, and all that will be is but $om-k\bar{a}ra$. Each individual letter of Om was made to stand for something. $A-k\bar{a}ra$ represents waking, the waker and the waking world. $u-k\bar{a}ra$, the dreamer and the dream world, $ma-k\bar{a}ra$ the sleeper and the sleep experience. All three of them are shown to be non-separate from the same $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, which itself is neither the waker consciousness, nor is it dreamer consciousness, or sleeper consciousness. And it is not the consciousness in between waking and dream consciousness nor is it all consciousness, or unconsciousness. Naturally what remains after negating all this is consciousness as such. All other things qualify that consciousness. And this is the nature of yourself; that is called caturtha. It is neither waker, dreamer, nor sleeper. Therefore, caturtham manyante sa $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ sa $vij\tilde{n}eyah^2$ — what is looked upon as caturtha, the fourth, that is the real $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. It is all three and is itself independent of all three. It doesn't undergo any change and is the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ in all three states. That has got to be known. This is the avasthā-traya-prakriyā discussed in the Māṇḍūkyopaniṣad, which is discussed in other *Upanisads* as well.

 $^{^{2}}$ $M\bar{a}nd\bar{u}kyopanişad-7$

Pañcakośa-prakriyā — ANALYSIS OF THE FIVE LEVELS OF EXPERIENCE OF ONESELF IN *Taittirīyopaniṣad*

In Taittiriyopaniṣad there is a $pañcakośa-prakriy\bar{a}$. It begins with the physical body, anna-rasa-maya, which is like a cover, kośa, because everyone mistakes it for $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. It is born out of the essence of the food that is eaten, anna-rasa. The assimilated form of food is anna-rasa-maya. The affix mayat means modification, $vik\bar{a}ra$, so, anna-rasa-maya is a modification of the essence of food. We generally conclude that the body $is\ \bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. Therefore, $\acute{s}ruti$ points out that there is another $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ which is more interior, subtler. This is $pr\bar{a}na$. $\acute{S}ruti$ then describes the physiological function, $pr\bar{a}na-maya$. If you think this is $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, $\acute{s}ruti$ leads you further to another $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, mano-maya and from mano-maya to vijnan-maya, the doer, then from vijnan-maya to $\bar{a}nandamaya$.

Sukha, happiness is also experienced in different degrees because of shades of difference in vretis. So, within that $\bar{a}nandamaya$, priya is the first stage of happiness. Something that is pleasing or desirable to you is sighted; that is priya. Then what is desired is possessed by you; this is moda. The third stage in which it is experienced by you is called pramoda. These are degrees of $\bar{a}nanda$, all of which are particular modes of thought, $vreti-vi\acute{s}e$; as. But in all the three, priya, moda, and pramoda, what is present is $\bar{a}nanda$. And that $\bar{a}nanda$ is myself. That is Brahman.

Tanmātra-prakriyā — A TYPE OF *sṛṣṭi-prakriyā*

Here, Krsna uses the $tanm\bar{a}tra-prakriy\bar{a}$. $Tanm\bar{a}tra$, as we have seen, means the five subtle elements. These five subtle elements undergo a process of grossification whereby each element shares half of itself with the other four. Therefore, each gross element is five-fold and has one eighth of each of the other elements. For example, $sth\bar{u}la-\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ is one half $s\bar{u}ksma-\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a$, one eighth $s\bar{u}ksma-v\bar{a}yu$, one eighth $s\bar{u}ksma-agni$, one eighth $s\bar{u}ksma-agni$ and one eighth $s\bar{u}ksma-prthiv\bar{i}$. Thus every gross element is five-fold and because it is formed of these five-fold elements, the world itself is called $prapa\bar{n}ca$, five-fold, in Sanskrit. This $prapa\bar{n}ca$ was originally $tanm\bar{a}tra$. Only that alone, $tat-m\bar{a}tra$, was there. In other words, in $ak\bar{a}\acute{s}a$, $ak\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ alone was there; in $v\bar{a}yu$, $v\bar{a}yu$ alone; in agni, agni alone; in agni, agni alone; in agni, agni alone. In the $s\bar{u}ksma$ form they don't have these five-fold combinations, therefore, they are called $tanm\bar{a}tras$.

These $tanm\bar{a}tras$, $\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a$, $v\bar{a}yu$, agni, $\bar{a}pa\dot{h}$, $prthiv\bar{i}$, have been listed in the reverse order in this verse. If they are listed as space, air, fire, water, earth, it is in the order in which they were created, srsti-krama. But because Arjuna is now looking at the already created, the srsti that is there, the elements are listed beginning with $bh\bar{u}mi$. These five elements have many synonyms.

Here $prethiv\bar{i}$, the earth, is called $bh\bar{u}mi$; agni, the fire, is called $anala^3$; $\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ is called kham. All the five of these elements are to be understood here as subtle, i.e., $s\bar{u}k\dot{s}ma$, because they are mentioned as the cause here.

Then manas, buddhi, $ahank\bar{a}ra$ are also added to these elements. Since they are all products, they have to be looked at from the causal level. The five subtle elements with these three are the eight-fold cause for this entire jagat.

The cause of the mind is $aha\dot{n}k\bar{a}ra$. So, in this verse, the word manas stands for $aha\dot{n}k\bar{a}ra$; the word buddhi stands for mahat-tattva; the word $aha\dot{n}k\bar{a}ra$ stands for the unmanifest, avyakta. Krsna wants to point out all the causes and he arranges them in the order that is generally discussed elsewhere. $Aha\dot{n}k\bar{a}ra$ is mentioned last because avyakta, the unmanifest is the primary cause with reference to the creation.

It is $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$, the material cause for the creation. While Brahman does not undergo any change, the $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$ undergoes all the change and is therefore, looked at as $parin\bar{a}mi$, that which undergoes modification.

Then there is a new problem. If you say Brahman is the cause, then Brahman must undergo some change in order to become the creation. Yes. As $parin\bar{a}mi-k\bar{a}rana$ it does and that change takes place only in the $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}-up\bar{a}dhi$. Only from the standpoint of $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ is it $parin\bar{a}mi-k\bar{a}rana$; from the standpoint of itself it is $vivarta-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$; It doesn't undergo any change. The material cause itself is analyzed as a cause that undergoes change and as that which doesn't undergo any change. Satyan $jn\bar{a}nam$ anantan brahma cannot undergo change. The avyakta, the $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, alone undergoes changes.

Lord Krsna says that, this $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ -śakti itself has become this eight-fold cause for the entire creation. So, in an eight-fold way this $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ -śakti, which is non-separate from Me, is the cause for everything. $M\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ is not a parallel reality; it is the Lord's own śakti. And in an eight-fold way, it becomes the prakrti for the creation. This is called $apar\bar{a}$ prakrti. The other prakrti, $par\bar{a}$ prakrti, is the $svar\bar{u}pa$, the $svar\bar{u}pa$ of $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$.

अपरेयमितस्त्वन्यां प्रकृतिं विद्धि मे पराम् जीवभूतां महाबाहो ययेदं धार्यते जगत्

apareyamitastvanyām prakṛtim viddhi me parām jīvabhūtām mahābāho yayedam dhāryate jagat

Verse 5

महाबाह्रो $mah\bar{a}b\bar{a}ho$ — O Mighty armed! (Arjuna); इयम् अपरा $iyam\ apar\bar{a}$ — this (prakrti) (is) lower; तु tu — whereas; इतः अन्याम् $itah\ any\bar{a}m$ — the one that is other than this; मे पराम् प्रकृतिम् $me\ par\bar{a}m\ prakrtim$ — my higher prakrti (my very nature); जीवभूतां $j\bar{i}va$ - $bh\bar{u}t\bar{a}m$ — that which is the essential nature of the individual; विद्धि vid-dhi — please understand; यया $yay\bar{a}$ — by which;

³ Alam na vidyate yasya — the one who never says enough (in terms of fuel)

इदम् जगत् idam jagat — this world; इदम् जगत् idam jagat — this world; धायेते dhāryate — is sustained

O Mighty armed, (Arjuna), this is (my) lower (prakrti). Whereas, please understand the one other than this, my higher *prakrti* (my very nature), which is the essential nature of the individual, by which this world is sustained.

WHAT HAS BEEN DESCRIBED SO FAR IS aparā (svabhāva) prakṛti

Iyam, this aparā prakrti is the prakrti which is the cause for everything created. This should not be taken as myself. It is aparā prakṛti, a lower prakṛti. Therefore, Sankara says, it is indeed anarthaka, something that brings about the undesirable. This is the *prakrti* that creates all the problems. It is the one that causes you duḥkha by giving you a jiva-śarira, etc. Out of this prakrti, your body, mind, and senses are produced. And because of this alone, you have all the duḥkha associated with them in the form of all their limitations. All these are caused by this $apar\bar{a}$ prakṛti. Its very form is the bondage of saṃsāra. For this reason it is the lower prakṛti.

MY REAL NATURE — parā prakṛti

Then what is the higher prakrti? Tu, whereas, itah anyām – other than this, me parām prakṛtim viddhi – please understand my higher prakṛti. Other than this, please understand the svarūpa of myself, my very nature as the parā prakṛti. This parā prakṛti, Śankara says, is viśuddha, pure, not touched by anything. Parā means utkṛṣṭā, the most exalted prakṛṭi. With reference to the other one, it is utkṛṣṭā, because if you know this prakṛṭi, you are liberated. The other prakṛṭi will bind you.

MY REAL NATURE IS YOU

Here, Krsna, speaking as Iśvara says please understand my real nature and that is $j\bar{i}vabh\bar{u}ta$ – in the form of the $j\bar{i}va$. And that is you. In this prakrti, $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ always remains the same. That $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, $sat\text{-}cit\text{-}\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ is the ksetrajña, the one who knows the entire kṣetra. The kṣetra is the mind, intellect, doership, memory, body, senses, sensory world, etc. The $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ that illumines all of them is called ksetrajña. That is the real meaning of the word jiva, the one who is in every ksetra. Later Kṛṣṇa is going to say that in every body-mind-sense-complex, sarva-kṣetreṣu, the one who remains there is $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, kṣetrajña ⁴.

⁴ Bhagavad Gītā – 13-2

Bhagavadgitā Home Study: Chapter 7, Verse 6

In previous verses, Lord unfolded both his $par\bar{a}$ prakrti, consisting of the five elements, etc, and $par\bar{a}$ prakrti, which is other than this — itah $any\bar{a}m$ $par\bar{a}m$ prakrtim viddhi. Although both must be understood, Krsna is going to say, this $(par\bar{a} \ prakrti)$ is the real prakrti. This is my real nature, the cause for everything, and therefore, it is called prakrti. It is this prakrti from which everything has come, which remains always the same, which is indeed the $j\bar{i}va$, the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. Therefore, understand that prakrti to be $par\bar{a}$, $utkrst\bar{a}$. The other one is $apar\bar{a}$ prakrti, the five elements, etc. Having set this up, he's now going to reveal that there is nothing other than this $par\bar{a}$ prakrti.

एतद्योनीनि भूतानि सर्वाणीत्युपधारय अहं कृत्स्नस्य जगत प्रभव प्रलयस्तथा

etadyonini bhūtāni sarvāṇityupadhāraya ahaṃ kṛtsnasya jagataḥ prabhavaḥ pralayastathā

Verse 6

सर्वाणि भूतानि sarvāṇi bhūtāni — all beings and elements; एतद्-योनीनि etad-yonīni — are those that have these (the two prakṛtis) as their causes; इति उपधारय iti upadhāraya — thus please understand; अहम् aham — I ; कृत्स्त्रस्य जगतः kṛtsnasya jagataḥ — of this entire world; प्रभवः prabhavaḥ — am the cause; तथा tathā — so too; प्रलयः pralayaḥ — (I am) the source into which everything resolves

Please understand that all beings and elements have their cause in this two-fold *prakṛti*. (Therefore,) I am the one from whom this entire world comes; so too, I am the one into whom everything resolves.

EVERYTHING HAS ITS BEING IN THIS TWO-FOLD prakṛti

Etad means this two-fold prakṛti. It includes all beings beginning from Brahmāji right down to a worm. In other words, all living beings, from A to Z, and all non-living things also, from the space to the earth. All of them are included. Nothing is left out. Everything known and unknown, everything that may be there in the cosmos, and whatever is there sustaining it, all the forces, all the laws, all the phenomena, then varieties of lower lokas like atala, etc., and all the beings therein, and all the higher lokas with their celestials — yakṣas, gandharvas, etc., devas — Indra, right up to Brahmāji. With that everything is covered — all fourteen lokas, seven up and seven below. All this together is called one brahmāṇḍa. That brahmāṇḍa and everything that is there in it is sarvāṇi bhūtāni. Etad, this is the two-fold prakṛti. One is ātmā, sat-cit-ānanda-ātmā, kṣetrajµa-svarūpa-ātmā, the parā prakṛti of Īśvara. The other is the māyā-upādhi and because of that all the elements, etc., is the aparā prakṛti. Kṛṣṇa says, please understand this — upadhāraya.

So, etat- $yon\bar{i}ni$ means those that have these (the two prakrtis) as their causes. Yoni means cause. These two prakrtis are the cause for everything in this jagat. Therefore, all the things in this jagat are called etat- $yon\bar{i}ni$. The $apar\bar{a}$ prakrti is everything that is there and $par\bar{a}$ prakrti is the real cause, satyam $j\bar{n}\bar{a}nam$ anantam brahma. That alone is the cause for everything. Please understand that prakrti. It is $j\bar{i}vabh\bar{u}ta$, in the form of $j\bar{i}va$, $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, $pratyag\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. That is the real $svar\bar{u}pa$, the real cause for everything. All the $bh\bar{u}t\bar{a}ni$, space, air, mind and so on, have their being only in this, in $param\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. All beings have their basis only in the sat-cit- $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. Therefore, etad- $yon\bar{i}ni$ $sarv\bar{a}ni$ $bh\bar{u}t\bar{a}ni$ iti $upadh\bar{a}raya$ — please ascertain, come to understand that all the things in this jagat have these two prakrtis as their cause.

I AM THE CAUSE FOR THE PROJECTION AND RESOLUTION OF CREATION

The Lord says, 'ahaṃ kṛtsnasya jagataḥ prabhavaḥ — I am the cause for the projection of this entire world.' Which 'I'? This 'I' — the one who has the two-fold prakṛti. The one that is in the form of this entire jagat — aparā prakṛti and the other one which is the real 'I' — satyaṃ jñānam anantaṃ brahma, the parā prakṛti. And therefore, I am indeed the cause for the entire creation. Not only that. I am also the one into which this entire creation resolves — pralayaḥ tathā. Tathā — so too, kṛtsnasya jagataḥ pralayaḥ — I am the source into which everything resolves. I am the one from whom everything comes. I am the one into whom everything goes back. Therefore, there is nothing other than myself. When the creation is there, it is me because from me it has come. As I told you, this aparā prakṛti which is the pariṇāmi-upādāna-kāraṇa is also nothing but me alone.

Then what is $\bar{I}\dot{s}vara$? If you look at the jagat as an individual, then naturally you have a physical body, mind, and senses. The world is there. For all this you require a cause which is what we call $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ - $up\bar{a}dhi$. Satyam $j\bar{n}\bar{a}nam$ anantam brahma with $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ in the form of this entire world is Parameśvara.

From the standpoint of $par\bar{a}$ prakrti, all that is there is one, without which there is no jagat at all. It alone gives $satt\bar{a}$, existence, to every aspect of the creation and it also gives $sph\bar{u}rti$, that by which you come to know each and every thing. $Satt\bar{a} - sat$ and $sph\bar{u}rti - cit$, by which this entire jagat is sustained, is $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. That is $par\bar{a}$ prakrti.

⁵ ete yonī yesām te – etadyonīni.

DEFINITION OF THE CAUSE - THE MAKER AND THE MATERIAL

In the previous verse, Krsna said, 'I am the cause of the entire creation and also its point of dissolution.' When the Lord says he is the cause, how does he mean this? As a conscious being, the $nimitta-k\bar{a}rana$, he is the cause in a three-fold way — as the creator, as the one into whom everything dissolves, and as the one who sustains everything.

Taittiriyopaniṣad also says the cause is the one from whom, yatah, all these beings come, by whom, yena, they are sustained and into whom, yat—yasmin, they resolve⁶. This is the definition of the cause. The word yatah represents the fifth case used in the sense of that from which something is born. This indicates the $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}nak\bar{a}na$, the material cause. Then he says yena, by whom they are sustained, then yasmin, unto whom they go back — $yatprayantyabhisamviśanti^7$. Apart from this pronoun, yat, there is no mention of any other cause. From this we understand that this $k\bar{a}rana$, the cause is both $nimitta-k\bar{a}rana$ and $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$.

Earlier in the Taittiriyopaniṣad, it is said, ' $so'k\bar{a}mayata$ — He desired.' This clearly indicates the $nimitta-k\bar{a}raṇa$. The one referred to later by the pronoun, yat, from which everything has come, $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}raṇa$, is the same one who desired to become many and then created everything, $nimitta-k\bar{a}raṇa$. From this it is clear here it means that according to the $\acute{s}ruti$, the cause for this world is $Parame\acute{s}vara$ — both in the sense of the maker and the material.

NATURE OF THE CAUSE DEFINED

When the $\delta \bar{a}stra$ analyses the cause, it unfolds what we call the $svar\bar{u}pa$, the nature of that very cause. For that it has a different definition altogether — satyam $j\mu_i nam\ anantam\ brahma$. You'll find that none of these words, even though they are defining words, has a particular quality. In fact, these words negate all qualities. Therefore, Brahman, the cause, is revealed as $nirvi\acute{s}e$, a free from attributes, by words which negate all the attributes we know. Thus, the cause is presented as nirvikalpa – free from any form of duality.

The definition is that it is satya. And it is anantam satyam. Therefore, it is not existent, as we usually understand — that is its existence is not in terms of time. Similarly $j\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ is not the knowledge of any given thing. It is anantam $j\bar{n}\bar{a}nam$, unlimited $j\bar{n}\bar{a}na$, that is limitless consciousness. This definition, satyam $j\bar{n}\bar{a}nam$ anantam brahma, is $svar\bar{u}pa-lakṣana$.

⁶ यतो वा इमानि भूतानि जायन्ते। येन जातानि जीवन्ति। यत्प्रयन्त्यभिसंविशन्ति। yato vā imāni bhūtāni jāyante, yena jātāni jīvanti, yatprayantyabhisaṃviśanti. (Taittirīyopaniṣad – 3-1)

⁷ In this the word *yat* is equal to *yasmin* when the compound is resolved

THE TWO TYPES OF laksana

Svarūpa-lakṣaṇa

There are two types of *lakṣaṇas*, *svarūpa-lakṣaṇa* and *taṭastha-lakṣaṇa*. *Svarūpa-lakṣaṇa* reveals the essential nature of something. For example, if you describe water as H2O, this is *svarūpa-lakṣaṇa*. Water is nothing but these atoms so if you describe water as H2O, you are explaining the *svarūpa* of water. Here, the definition of *Brahman* as *satyaṃ jñānam anantaṃ brahma*, reveals the nature of *Brahman*, the *vastu*. It negates all attributes and then points out by implication that the *vastu* is the existence of anything that is existent and is the content of any form of knowledge.

Tatastha-lakṣaṇa

Then, if, for example, you want to indicate a certain house and do so by saying it is the house on which the crow is sitting, that is *taṭastha-lakṣaṇa*. The crow is not a part of the house even though it helps you recognize the house. The next time you have to identify that house you need not wait for the crow to come and sit on it. Once you recognize the house, the crow is not a part of the understanding of the house. That is called *taṭastha-lakṣaṇa*.

Whenever creation is discussed in the $\pm \bar{a}stra$, Brahman is presented as the cause from which everything has come, by which everything is sustained and into which everything resolves. Therefore, everything is Brahman. This is $ta\pm asthalaksana$.

It is important to understand that Brahman itself has not undergone any change whatsoever to be this world. Because the world is $mithy\bar{a}$. Anything you analyze reveals itself to be only a name and form which is reducible to another name and form which again has its being in something else.

SATYA MUST BE UNDERSTOOD TO UNDERSTAND mithyā

A Buddhist will claim that, if you continue analyzing like this, you will end up in non-existence. The conclusion will be that the world has its cause in the non-existent and the discovery that I am that non-existent is $nirv\bar{a}na$. $Nirv\bar{a}na$ means extinguishing everything. This is the Buddhistic approach.

But we do not mean that. The discovery here is that, I am the only satya, the only reality. Even though the Buddhistic analysis of $mithy\bar{a}$ looks the same, it is not; because $mithy\bar{a}$ is truly $mithy\bar{a}$ only when satya is appreciated. Otherwise $mithy\bar{a}$ becomes satya, a reality. $Mithy\bar{a}$ is defined as anything that has no independent existence, no basis of its own. So, by the very definition there is no such thing as $mithy\bar{a}$ without satya. Even though one may say the world is $mithy\bar{a}$, he cannot appreciate it as such unless he appreciates satya. When the clay is appreci-

ated as the truth of the pot, the pot is appreciated as $mithy\bar{a}$. So, only in the wake of the appreciation of satya does $mithy\bar{a}$ become clear.

TWO TYPES OF MATERIAL CAUSE — vivarta-upādāna-kāraņa AND pariņāmi-upādāna-kāraņa

Satyaṃ jñānam anantaṃ brahma is the svarūpa of ātmā and at the same time is the cause of everything. A question now arises whether as the cause of everything it undergoes any type of change in becoming the world? Further, does it have any other material apart from itself with which it creates the world? The śāstra makes it very clear that Brahman is satya and the jagat, the world is mithyā. This being so, the world is non-separate from Brahman and so Brahman is the material cause. Here a problem arises. $\bar{I}\dot{s}vara$, Brahman with reference to the creation, is both $nimitta-k\bar{a}raṇa$, the efficient cause and $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}raṇa$, the material cause. We can understand, that there is an $\bar{I}\dot{s}vara$ who is all-knowing and so on, who is the efficient cause. But how can he be the material cause? Any material cause undergoes a change to become the effect. If the Lord himself is the material cause then he too must undergo a total change to become space, air and so on.

vivarta-upādāna-kāraņa

Here we have to make a very careful note. When we say Brahman is the $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$ of this jagat, we mean it as $vivarta-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. This is one particular word I have not unfolded so far. $Vivarta-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$ is different from simple $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. $Upad\bar{a}na$ is the material and as a material, generally we would expect that it undergoes a change to become the effect. This is what we commonly understand as $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. This is called $parin\bar{a}mi-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. It undergoes a change. The example generally given to illustrate this is of the milk turning into yoghurt. Milk was sweet and liquid; now it is sour and semi-solid. It has undergone some change. No doubt milk is the $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$ for the yoghurt, but the yoghurt is definitely not in the form of milk. The milk, which is the $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$ has undergone a change to become yoghurt.

Similarly if the Lord, is understood to be the $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$, one may think that perhaps he also undergoes some change to become this jagat! — that is perhaps as $parin\bar{a}mi-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$, he has indeed become the world, and as the material cause, must have undergone a change. If he has undergone a change, he is no longer in his original form. Therefore, all that is here now is the world. Where is the Lord? There is no Lord at all! This is an argument raised by some people to negate the Lord being the $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$.

This is too simplistic! The Lord, no doubt, is the material cause, $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. But he is not the $parin\bar{a}mi-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. He is the $vivarta-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. That is, without undergoing any change he is the $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. This kind of $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$ is called $vivarta-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$.

The definition of vivarta is, sva- $svar\bar{u}pa$ - $aparity\bar{a}gena$ - $r\bar{u}pa$ -antara- $\bar{a}pattih$ — assuming another form without giving up one's own nature. An example is your own dream world. There you are the nimitta- $k\bar{a}ran$; and you are the $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ - $k\bar{a}ran$. Without undergoing any intrinsic change, without giving up its $svar\bar{u}pa$, $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ has become the world in the dream. There is the subject; there is an object; there is an action. All the $k\bar{a}rakas$ are involved. A $k\bar{a}raka$ is anything connected to an action. Relationships are also included like, this is my house, this is my son etc. All these take place there without bringing about any intrinsic change in the $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. $\bar{A}tm\bar{a}$, pure consciousness, alone is in the form of this dream. As in the dream, so it is in this waking state.

When we say the Lord is the $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$ for the creation, we mean it as $vivarta-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. It is like the rope which, without undergoing any change, becomes the basis for the snake that is seen. Rope is $vivarta-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$ for the snake.

$parinar{a}mi$ - $upar{a}dar{a}na$ - $kar{a}rana$

Since Brahman itself cannot undergo any change in order to be the cause of this creation it must have some $up\bar{a}dhi$ which is as good as the creation. If the creation is $mithy\bar{a}$, there must be an $up\bar{a}dhi$ which is equally $mithy\bar{a}$. That $up\bar{a}dhi$, we call $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$, the $up\bar{a}dhi$ for Brahman to be $\bar{I}\acute{s}vara$, the creator. We can now say, from this standpoint, that $\bar{I}\acute{s}vara$ has undergone a change to become this jagat. From the standpoint of $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}$ - $up\bar{a}dhi$ we call $\bar{I}\acute{s}vara$ the $parin\bar{a}mi$ - $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na$ - $k\bar{a}ran$.

When we look at $\bar{I}\acute{s}vara$, Brahman, as the cause of everything, we look at it as the $vivarta-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. That $\bar{I}\acute{s}vara$ you are. When I say that you are that $\bar{I}\acute{s}vara$, I mean $\bar{I}\acute{s}vara$ as $vivarta-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. When we talk about the world as non-separate from $\bar{I}\acute{s}vara$ it is $\bar{I}\acute{s}vara$ that has become space, air and so on. Here we look at $\bar{I}\acute{s}vara$ from the standpoint of the $m\bar{a}y\bar{a}-up\bar{a}dhi$ which has undergone all the changes. When we say Brahman, besides being the $nimitta-k\bar{a}rana$, the efficient cause, he is $up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$, the material cause, what we mean is that, Brahman is the $vivarta-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$. And because of this $vivarta-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}ranatva$ alone, it is possible to appreciate $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ as $sat-cit-\bar{a}nandan$ brahma.

One high school teacher once told me that Sankara has said that God became the world. In the beginning there was God and then he created the world out of himself. So, God became the world. And now there is no God. It is exactly like making idli out of rice. The rice is gone; only idli is there. Later, I repeated this as Sankara's philosophy to someone and he laughed so hard that I knew that there was some mistake in what I had said. But I didn't know what the mistake was and he didn't correct me either.

It is obvious. The mistake is that God is taken as $parin\bar{a}mi-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$, a material cause that undergoes a change. We require the technical term, 'vivarta,' to understand this. Once we say Brahman is $vivarta-up\bar{a}d\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}rana$, Brahman re-

mains as Brahman. That alone will work. $Satyam j\mu_i nam anantam brahma$ always remains the same. Its $svar\bar{u}pa$ being what it is, it cannot undergo any change.

To understand the non-dual nature of Brahman, that there is nothing beyond Brahman and that the creation is not different from Brahman, this $k\bar{a}rana-k\bar{a}rya-v\bar{a}da$, discussion of cause-effect is the set-up. Through this, one understands that all that is here is Brahman and I am none other than that Brahman.

Om Tat Sat

Acharya Vandanam Committee Commemorating Pujya Swami Dayananda Saraswati's 90th Jayanti

Namaste and greetings from the Acharya Vandanam committee

It is with regret that we share with you our decision to postpone the Acharya Vandanam event, originally scheduled to be held on August 9 2020, to 2021. The decision was made in light of the covid-19 viral pandemic, which has caused many countries to put in place travel restrictions and domestic lockdowns.

We pray that the spread of the covid-19 virus be curbed soon, and that all those affected by it make a full recovery at the earliest'

However, given the lack of clarity on when the spread of the virus might abate, when we might resume travel and life on a normal basis, we feel it is best that we all follow the guideline of social distancing and avoid occasions that involve large gatherings for the rest of this year.

As of now, we are planning to hold the event in 2021, during Pujya Swami Dayananda Saraswati's next Jayanti. More details of the same will be shared at a subsequent date.

We thank you for contributing towards Acharya Vandanam, and assure you that all contributions received will be kept in a separate account, and will be utilized for the sole purpose planning and running of the event.

We thank you for your support and understanding

Warm regards,

Acharya Vandanam Committee