Sādhana-pañcakam Pujya Swamiji's transcribed talk

This is the fourteenth part of the serial article, continuation from March 2022 newsletter.

MEANING OF ŚRAVANAM

All of this is $v\bar{a}ky\bar{a}rtha-vic\bar{a}ra$, otherwise called $\acute{s}ravanam$, determining exactly what the meaning of the sentence is. There is only one sentence, $tat\ tvam\ asi$, the $ma-h\bar{a}v\bar{a}kya$ is the sentence. All other sentences are $av\bar{a}ntara-v\bar{a}kyas$, secondary sentences meant only to unfold the truth revealed by the $mah\bar{a}v\bar{a}kya$. Therefore $v\bar{a}ky\bar{a}rtha\acute{s}ca\ vic\bar{a}ryat\bar{a}m$, inquiry with the help of the $\acute{s}ruti$, is called $\acute{s}ravanam$.

Śravaṇam is a very beautiful word. It has two meanings. One meaning is 'to listen'. The other meaning of the root śru is vicāra, inquiry. So vicāryatām, may you inquire. Inquiry is done through listening. That means somebody has to talk, or at least you require an audio device. A teacher is necessary. Listening means you require a teacher. The subject matter of your inquiry, however, is only the śruti. The words of the śruti are the pramāṇa, the means of knowledge. The teacher is not the pramāṇa, but this śruti pramāṇa has to only come through a teacher. The words have to be handled properly, otherwise they do not make any sense. If the teacher is a śrotriya, he can handle the śruti pramāṇa. Thus śrūyatām means both śravaṇam kriyatām; may you listen to the śāstra and vicāryatām, do vicāra, inquire with the help of a teacher. In order to gain mokṣa, ātmā has to be seen by you as the vastu, meaning it has to be recognized by you as it is. Because the means of knowledge for this is Vedānta, one must therefore do Vedānta śravaṇam.

In the sentence 'ātmā vā are draṣṭavyaḥ śrotavyaḥ,'⁸² may one know ātmā, listen to the knowledge of ātmā, etc., the suffix tavya has an imperative sense.⁸³ It is used in the sense of 'should be done'. It is not a command, rather its intent is to turn your mind away from what is anātmā. This is necessary because people seem to have a prīti, love, for anātmā. That love is not really for anātmā, however, rather only for ātmā. This is the context in the śāstra, in the Bṛhadāraṇyakopaniṣad, where it is said that even your love for an object other than yourself is a love for yourself

alone, for the pleased self.⁸⁴ The nature of the self is $\bar{a}nanda$ and that is what you want. Therefore, all the love should be turned towards $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ and away from $an\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$. This sentence, $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ $v\bar{a}$ are draṣṭavyaḥ, is said only in order to turn your attention towards $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$, to create in you the $jij\bar{n}\bar{a}s\bar{a}$, the desire for the knowledge of $\bar{a}tm\bar{a}$ alone. It is not a vidhi, command. This is a big argument in the $s\bar{a}stra$. The reasoning that we saw previously is all meant only to understand the $v\bar{a}kya$ and thus the $t\bar{a}tparya$, vision.

UNDERSTAND THE VISION OF ŚRUTI

Śrutiśiraḥ pakṣaḥ samāśrīyatām: Śrutiśira means Vedānta. Pakṣa means the vision, the contention. Samāśrīyatām means samyak āśrīyatām, may one follow, pursue, conform to the vision of the śruti. In this vision, ātmā is aparicchinna, not bound by any form of limitation such as time, space and so on. All that is here is ātmā. Ātmā is the adhiṣṭhāna, the truth of everything and therefore it is the svarūpa of Īśvara.

This is the vision of Vedānta and may you have śraddhā in this particular vision. If you have śraddhā in that vision, then the inquiry into the meaning of the vākyas will be proper. Otherwise, you will be saying tasya tvam asi, atat tvam asi, and so on. Such an understanding does not bless you. What is the use? Some will say that the goal is sāyujya, joining Īśvara, or sāmīpya, going near Īśvara, but the individual is still there. If you have to join with Īśvara and become one with Īśvara, then are you going to retain your individuality or are you going to lose it in Īśvara? If you lose your individuality, then individuality is not real. Because anything that is anitya, limited by time, is mithyā and therefore not true. So how can you lose it? And anything that is real is nitya, and therefore there is no bādha, negation, or nāśa, destruction, of what is real.

To be continued...

⁸² Bṛhadāraṇyakopaniṣad 2.4.5

⁸³ तव्यत्तव्यानीयरः (Pā. 3-1-96)

⁸⁴ आत्मनस्तु कामाय सर्वं प्रियं भवति (Bṛhadāraṇyakopaniṣad 4.5.6)