Mundaka

Mantra 2.2.10

Now, the same Brahman is shown as the very svarūpa of the ātman in this mantra, so that one does not think that Brahman is an object of sight, dṛśya. It is dṛk svarūpa, the nature of the seer, the ātman.

हिरण्मये परे कोशे विरजं ब्रह्म निष्कलम् । तच्छुभ्रं ज्योतिषां ज्योतिः तद्यदात्मविदो विदुः ॥ २ ॥ २ ॥ १० ॥

hiranmaye pare kośe virajam brahma niskalam. tacchubhram jyotisām jyotih tadyadātmavido viduh. (2. 2. 10)

```
virajam -- free from all impurities; niṣkalam -- partless; brahma -- Brahman; pare --- in the most interior; hiraṇmaye -- shining; kośe -- in the kośa; tad -- it is; śubhram -- pure; jyotiṣām -- of all lights; jyotiḥ -- it is the light; ātmavidaḥ -- those who know the ātman; viduḥ -- they understand; tad -- that; yad -- which Brahman
```

Those who know *ātman* understand that Brahman which is free from all impurities, is partless, pure and the light of all lights, obtaining in the most interior shining layer.

Hiraṇmaye pare kośe: in the most interior shining 'sheath'. Hiraṇmaye is an expression in Vedic language which means similar to gold, the similarity being in the shine. Para-kośa ānandamaya which is the most interior. The annamaya-kośa is most exterior; more interior to that is prāṇamaya; still more interior is manomaya and so on. The bhoktṛ, experiencer of the results of action, is called ānandamaya. The ānandamaya undergoes changes in the form of mental modifications known as vṛttis representing different degrees of happiness like joy and ecstasy.

Whenever an individual experiences happiness, he is very close to the $\bar{a}tman$ because the nature of $\bar{a}tman$ is happiness. When one experiences unhappiness, one has to identify with the activity of the mind. Only then does one become sorrowful. In $\bar{a}nandamaya$ there is no activity of the mind and the vrti, thought mode, is $s\bar{a}nta-vrtis$ or $s\bar{a}ttvika-vrtis$. Therefore, the $\bar{a}nandamaya$ is used in the $s\bar{a}stra$ to point out the nature of $\bar{a}tman$. It becomes a strate known because the $\bar{a}tman$ is as though found there, somewhat like a sword in a scabbard. Brahman is recognised as the basis of $\bar{a}nandamaya$. Further, the strate known identified with the strate known is there as though covering the strate known is a scabbard covers a sword.

In ānandamaya there is no activity of the mind and the *vṛtti*, thought mode, is śānta-vṛttis or sāttvika-vṛttis. Therefore, the ānandamaya is used in the śāstra to point out the nature of ātman.

Virajam brahma niṣkalam: Brahman is pure and does not have parts. The *ahankāra* takes itself to be subject to impurities like *puṇya* and *pāpa*, but in reality it is, *virajam* brahma, Brahman free from any kind of impurity. Rajas here means impurities like ignorance, the sense of individuality, doership, enjoyership and so on. Brahman is free from all of these.¹¹⁴ It is partless, and therefore, does not undergo any change like *ānandamaya* does.

Brahman is pure and does not have parts. It is partless, and therefore, does not undergo any change like *ānandamaya* does.

It is *jyotiṣām jyotiḥ*: the light of all lights. Jyoti means light, that which is effulgent. The sun, moon, stars and so on are lights. But this is 'the light' because of which all lights come to light. That light is *caitanya*, consciousness. ¹¹⁵ *Jyoti* is again an expression used in the śāstra to point out the nature of the ātman as consciousness from the standpoint of the perception of light and objects. Here, it becomes the *laṣaṇa* for pointing out the self-revealing consciousness.

Viduḥ: those who know the ātman understand (that which is Brahman). They recognise jyotiṣām jyotiḥ as 'I am that and that indeed is Brahman.' The śruti has already elaborately talked about Brahman in which the heaven, the earth and everything else have their being. When the śruti says 'jyotiṣām jyotiḥ' it means that one has to appreciate it as because one sees everything else¹¹¹6 by this caitanya-jyoti; there is no other source of jyoti. Brahman in the form of consciousness alone is the cause of everything. There is no Īśvara other than Brahman, so the jagat is Brahman. There is also no jīva other than Brahman. Therefore, all that is here is one Brahman.

When the śruti says 'jyotiṣām jyotiḥ' it means that one has to appreciate it as because one sees everything else¹¹⁶ by this *caitanya-jyoti*; there is no other source of jyoti. Brahman in the form of consciousness alone is the cause of everything. There is no Īśvara other than Brahman, so the jagat is Brahman. There is also no jīva other than Brahman. Therefore, all that is here is one Brahman.

- 112 परं तत्सर्वाभ्यन्तरत्वात् । (मुण्डक भाष्यम्)
- 113 कोश इव असेः आत्म-स्वरूपोपलन्धि-स्थानत्वात्। ।(मुण्डक भाष्यम्)
- 114 विरजम् अविद्याद्यशेष- देष-रजो-मल-वर्जितम् । (मुण्डक भाष्यम्)
- 115 अग्न्यादीनामपि ज्योतिष्ट्वम् अन्तर्गत-ब्रह्मात्म-चैतन्य-ज्योतिर्निमित्तमित्यर्थः। तिद्धं परं ज्योतिः यदन्यानवभास्यम् आत्म-ज्योतिः। (मुण्डकं भाष्यम्)
- 116 आत्मानं स्वं शब्दादि-विषय- बुद्धि-प्रत्यय-साक्षिणम् ।(मुण्डक भाष्यम्)

...to be continued